IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

ARBITRATION PETITION NO.161 OF 2005

Pradeep Vora. ... Petitioner.

vs.

Mahindra Gesco Developers Ltd. ... Respondent.

Mr.P.V.Samdhani with Ms.F.B.Kamdin i/b. M/s.Wadia Gandhi & Co. for Petitioner.

Mr.K.D.Parikh i/b. Little & Co., for Respondent.

CORAM: D.K.DESHMUKH,J.

DATED: 3rd May,2005

P.C.:-

1. The learned Counsel for respondent has no objection for giving inspection/details sought for by prayer clause (a). In this view of the matter therefore, the petition granted in terms of prayer clause (a).

2. The learned Counsel for respondent, without prejudice to rights and contentions of the respondent, states that the respondent would keep accounts of the amount that may be received by the respondent in relation to the parking spaces as also in relation to the drying balcony and furnish it periodically on every month to the petitioner. The Statement is accepted. In this view of the matter therefore, no order is necessary on prayer clause (b) and (c). Petition disposed of.